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## Changes RMD age to 72

## Basio Relgrant Prouisions

Eliminates Stretch IRAs (taking inherited IRAs for nonspouse beneficiaries over the life expectancy of the beneficiary)
Allows contributions to traditional IRAs after $701 / 2$

Allows long-term part-time workers to be in $\S 401(k)$ plans

Allows more annuities in $\S 401(\mathrm{k})$ plans

Allows up to \$5,000 of penalty-free withdrawals from §401(k) within a year of birth or adoption for qualified expenses
Allows withdrawal of up to $\$ 10,000$ from $\S 529$ plans to repay student loans

## Most Significant Changes: RMD \& Stretch

| What are the rules?

- 701⁄2-72
- 10-year rule: Nonspouse beneficiaries must generally withdraw within 10 years
| What does this mean?
- Heirs will face significant tax bill
- Inherited IRA planning critical
- Pre-mortem v. post-mortem tax brackets
| How do we change planning?
- Roths more logical
- Bracket management
- Heirs
- Owners
- QCD


WHICH WA

## ANOTHER WAY

$\qquad$

Beginning at Age $701 / 2$


Beginning at Age 72

| RMD would be at 72 versus $701 / 2$
| Still can take earlier distributions
| Can contribute now to a traditional IRA (and Roth) after $701 / 2$ :

- Must have earned income
- Both spouses can contribute
- Only one spouse needs income


## Stretch Qualified Plan/IRA Eliminated

## Prior Law

| Spousal rollover OK
| All non-spouse beneficiaries could stretch distributions over life expectancy
| Unnamed beneficiaries or estates had to distribute within 5 years

## New Law

| Spousal rollover OK
| Eligible beneficiaries can stretch:

- Minor children (until age of majority)
- Disabled or Chronically ill
- Within 10 years of age of IRA owner
| All other designated beneficiaries must take within 10 years
| All non-designated beneficiaries still distribute under prior law


## Likely Impact

| This affects beneficiaries based on the balance at the date of death of the second spouse

- <\$100K, not significant problem
- >\$100K < $\$ 400 \mathrm{~K}$, manageable
- >\$400K, planning advised
| Choices:
- Let beneficiaries worry about it
- Manage it (bracket, Roth, trusts)
- Insure it



## Pre-Mortem and Post-Mortem Planning

| Note the new rule affects not only all IRAs, but all qualified defined contribution plans: (§401(k), 401(a), 403(b), 457(b), ESOPs, Cash Balance and Defined Benefit with lump-sum options
| This applies to Taxable and Roth
| Note pre-mortem one spouse may be single (higher bracket)
| Note TCJA brackets expire 12/31/25
| Now post-mortem bracket may be much higher to heirs

## Bracket-ology

## Managing tax bracket has moving parts

'Floors' change bracket:

- Social security
- Child Credit
- College credits
- Medicare B \&D

TCJA expires 12/31/25, old rates reinstate (unless changed)

| NEW Rate | NEW Bracket | OLD Rate | OLD Bracket |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10\% | Up to \$9,525 | 10\% | Up to \$9,325 |
| 12\% | \$9,525-\$38,700 | 15\% | \$9,325-\$37,950 |
| 22\% | \$38,700-\$82,500 | 25\% | \$37,950-\$91,900 |
| 24\% | \$82,500-\$157,500 | 28\% | \$91,900-\$191,650 |
| 32\% | \$157,500-\$200,000 | 33\% | \$191,650-\$416,700 |
| 35\% | \$200,000-\$500,000 | 35\% | \$416,700-\$418,400 |
| 37\% | \$500,000 and up | 39.6\% | \$418,400 and up |

Married Filing Jointly

| NEW Rate | NEW Bracket | OLD Rate | OLD Bracket |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10\% | Up to \$19,050 | 10\% | Up to \$18,650 |
| 12\% | \$19,050- \$77,400 | 15\% | \$18,650-\$75,900 |
| 22\% | \$77,400- \$165,000 | 25\% | \$75,900-\$153,100 |
| 24\% | \$165,000-\$315,000 | 28\% | \$153,100-\$233,350 |
| 32\% | \$315,000-\$400,000 | 33\% | \$233,350-\$416,700 |
| 35\% | \$400,000-\$600,000 | 35\% | \$416,700-\$470,700 |
| 37\% | \$600,000 and up | 39.6\% | \$470,700 and up |

Source: forbes.com
*2017 pre-TCJA vs. 2018 TCJA rates

## Pre-fax Iceumulation and Distrihntion



## Example: Pre-Tax Accumulation \& Distribution

| Ozzie and Sharon have respective 401(k) plans that they rolled into IRAs. Ozzie is 70 , Sharon is 66 . Ozzie's IRA is worth $\$ 1.3 \mathrm{M}$, Sharon's is worth \$550K

They have three kids, Huey (38), Dewey (36) and Louie (30)
Assume their IRAs make 6\%
Assume both live to the age of 85
Assume Sharon rolls Ozzie's IRA into hers at his death
Assume they leave Sharon's IRA to the kids equally

## Example: Pre-Tax Accumulation \& Distribution

## Prior Law: Stretch

| Ozzie's RMD: \$47,445
| Sharon's RMD: \$25,342
| Ozzie's balance at death: $\$ 1,544,204$
| Sharon's balance at her death (including Ozzie's rollover): \$2,362,287
| Kids take stretch at Sharon's death

## SECURE: No Stretch

| Ozzie's RMD at 72: $\$ 57,321$
| Sharon's RMD at 72: \$30,808
| Ozzie's balance at death: $\$ 1,665,957$
| Sharon's balance at her death (including Ozzie's rollover): \$2,554,116
| Kids take 10 year even payout at Sharon's death

Payouts:

- Huey (starting RMD/balance at 10 years) Starting RMD: \$29,272
Balance at 10 years: \$906,354
Total distributions: \$2,002,937
- Dewey

Starting RMD: \$27,532
Balance at 10 years: $\$ 931,820$
Total distributions: \$2,138,957

- Louie

Starting RMD: \$23,092
Balance at 10 years: \$996,643
Total distributions: \$2,648,074

## Payouts:

- Huey (even distribution over 10 years) Distribution: \$109,127 Balance at 10 years: \$0 Total distributions:\$1,091,270
- Dewey (even distribution over 10 years) Distribution: \$109,127 Balance at 10 years: $\$ 0$ Total distributions:\$1,091,270
- Louie (even distribution over 10 years) Distribution: \$109,127
Balance at 10 years: \$0
Total distributions:\$1,091,270


## Roth Iceumulation and Distrihution



## Application of New Rulles

Taxable IRAs will require bracket management
Roth IRAs would logically defer tax-free
Segregation of IRA beneficiaries considerations:

- Charity = traditional taxable IRA
- Roth = high bracket individual
- Traditional = lower bracket
- Traditional = business owner for offsets?



## New Inherited IRA Aulles

| Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^0]
## I ; <br> Inherited Taxable IRAs

Possible Applications of the 10-Year Rule

## 2. Taxable IRAS

| Have to be distributed within the ten-year period
| Can 'bracket-manage’ for distribution years

- Charity
- Business losses
- Other QRP contribution
- State taxes
| Up-front most costly
| Bracket spreading


## Beginning of Period Withdrawal Strategy

## $\sim 1,000,000$ Tavalle IRA, One-Beneficiary

| Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Year 9 Year 10

## Einual Withirawal Strategy

## ~1,000,000 Tavelble IRA, One-Beneficiary

| Year 1 Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equal payments of <br> $\sim \$ 135,900$ | $6 \%$ pre-tax return, <br> $4.7 \%$ after-tax return |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## End of Period Withitrawal Strategy

## ~1,000,000 Taxelile IRA, One-Beneficiary

| Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Year 9 | Year 10 |
| :---: |

## Bractet Manasement: [iP4 Distrinution Oifsets

## Individual Offsets

| Charity

- DAF
- CRUT/CRAT
- CLUT/CLAT
- Appreciated Property
- 'Bunch’ and offset
- QCD (if $701 / 2$ or over)
| Qualified Plans
- §401(k), 403(b), 457(b)
- IRA
- HSA
| Spousal Business


## Business Offsets

| 199A pass-through offset
| Bonus depreciation/§179
| Excess loss limitation offset
| Qualified Plans

- §401(k)/profit sharing (\$63K)
- Cash Balance (\$200K + )
- Defined benefit (\$200K + )


## Example of Bracket Management: Business

| Melissa, 38, is a radiologist and married to Todd, who owns a construction company, which is a Sub-S corporation
| Melissa inherits a \$1M taxable IRA from her widowed mother, who died on June 30, 2020. Their income is about $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$
| Melissa will have to choose how to take funds out of the IRA within the 10-year period, which will end 2031 (the starting date is the year after the death of the Owner)
| They, their planner, and Todd's CPA look at these ideas:

- Todd needs new equipment for his business totaling $\$ 900 \mathrm{~K}$. He will use 'fullexpensing.' This will drive $\$ 900 \mathrm{~K}$ of expense to his bottom line. He'll do that in 2021
- Melissa will fully contribute to her 403(b) at the hospital
- Todd will contribute as an employer in 2021 to his profit sharing plan and apply a formula that benefits the longest tenure
- Melissa will withdraw from the IRA the equivalent distribution to offset the purchases and expenses


## Example of Bracket Manayement: Individual

| Willard, 48, is single and a college professor
| He has taxable income of \$124K a year
| He inherited a \$500K IRA from his widowed mother, who died on February 2, 2020
| Willard gives \$5-10K a year to charity
| Otherwise he has \$20K Total itemized deductions
| If Willard distributed evenly, and makes 6\%, he'd add about $\$ 68 \mathrm{~K}$ to his income. That brings part of his income into the $32 \%$ bracket
| He could make a Donor Advisor Fund Donation of \$73,500 and offset that with an additional IRA distribution
| That would level his distributions into the 24\% bracket at \$60,750 a year
| He's then make all of his charitable donations from the DAF and take the larger standard deduction

## Example of Charitable Remainder Trust

Elizabeth, 50 , inherits a \$1M IRA from her deceased mother, who died in 2020. They both attended the same university and want to donate to it.

- Option 1: offset a distribution with a donation to the university
- Option 2: immediate 5\% CRUT ( $5 \%$ to Elizabeth), $\$ 268 \mathrm{~K}$ deduction
- Option 3: equalize current distribution and CRUT at the end of the 10 years


## Life Insurance

Life insurance can be used to fund the taxes on the Inherited IRA

From previous examples, \$1M taxable IRA, taxes up front would be $\sim \$ 300 \mathrm{~K}$
Owner buys $\$ 300 \mathrm{~K}$ second-
 Economic benefit may be to-die policy, puts in Irrevocable Life Insurance Trust (ILIT)
Insurance pays on death, tax-free

## Inherited ROTH IRA

Possible Applications of 10-Year Rule

## Beginning of Period Withdrawal

## ~1,000,000 Roth IRA, One-Beneficiary

| Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roth, no taxes |  |  |  | $6 \%$ pre-tax return, 4.7\% after-tax return |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \sim \$ 1,583,000 \\ \text { year } 10 \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |  |

## End of Period Withdrawal

## ~1,000,000 Roth IRA, One-Beneficiary

| Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Year 10

# 1 <br> 1 <br> $\downarrow$ <br> <br> Trusts as Beneficiary 

 <br> <br> Trusts as Beneficiary}

RLT, IRA Trusts, and CRTs

## Revocable Living Trust with Pass-Through [see-through]

| With demise of stretch, regular RLT may be more in vogue
| Old disadvantage appears to be eliminated
| Old rule required lifetime of oldest living beneficiary (weird result?)
| New rules would apply over 10years
| Still allows some asset protection
| Still allows control

## IRA Trust: Gondulis Limited



IRA Trust protects inherited IRA from claims of beneficiary(ies) creditors.
Sprinkles distribution to beneficiaries
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## CRUT Or CRAT

## As IRA Beneficiary



IRA names Charitable Trust as beneficiary, with income to individual
beneficiaries for period up to 20 years


Charity get remainder at the end of the period

# ROTH Strategies 

Ways to Accumulate More Tax-Free

## New Lífe for Roths

## RETIREMENT

| Roth allows tax-free accumulation and tax-free distribution (after 10 years) to beneficiary
| TCJA expires 12/31/25
| RMD math shifts distribution higher and bracket creep
| RMD for couple usually leaves one spouse single in higher bracket

## Roth Iceumulation and Distrihution



## Roth Funding

| Type | Limit Per Spouse | Requirements | Stipulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contributory | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,000 \\ & (\$ 7,000 \text { if } 50+\text { or older }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income <196-206K (MFJ) } \\ & <124-139 \text { (Single). } \end{aligned}$ <br> At least one spouse must have earned income | Can do in addition to §401 (k) |
| Back-Door Roth | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,000 \\ & (\$ 7,000 \text { if } 50+\text { or older) } \end{aligned}$ | No income limit. <br> At least one spouse must have earned income | Either contributory or back door |
| §401(k), 403(b), <br> 457(b) Roth | \$19,500 <br> ( $\$ 26,000$ if $50+$ or older) | After-tax contribution | Roll to Roth IRA on retirement |
| Mega-Roth After-Tax in Plan | Up to \$37,500 | §401(k) must allow it. Plan testing | Must do salary deferral first |
| Roth Conversions | Unlimited | Must pay taxes | Taxes should be paid from non-deferral sources |

2020 Income Tax Bracket Thresholds

| Tax Rate | Single | Married Filing Jointly / <br> Surviving Spouse | Married Filing <br> Separately | Head of Household |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $12 \%$ | $\$ 9,875$ | $\$ 19,750$ | $\$ 9,875$ | $\$ 14,100$ |
| $22 \%$ | $\$ 40,125$ | $\$ 80,250$ | $\$ 40,125$ | $\$ 53,700$ |
| $24 \%$ | $\$ 85,525$ | $\$ 171,050$ | $\$ 85,525$ | $\$ 85,500$ |
| $32 \%$ | $\$ 163,300$ | $\$ 326,600$ | $\$ 163,300$ | $\$ 163,300$ |
| $35 \%$ | $\$ 207,350$ | $\$ 414,700$ | $\$ 207,350$ | $\$ 207,350$ |
| $37 \%$ | $\$ 518,400$ | $\$ 622,050$ | $\$ 311,025$ | $\$ 518,400$ |

## Bracket-Topying

- Take IRA distributions up to the edge of the bracket
- Taxable income, not AGI
- Convert to Roth
- Spend
- Invest outside of IRA


## Bracket-Topping Example:

| Tristan and Isolde are both 62
| They have 2 adult children, Cassie and Peter (twins) age 30
| They have accumulated $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ in their $\S 401(\mathrm{k})$ plans
| They have a portfolio of \$3M (from sale of company)

- \$1M in muni bonds, tax-free
- \$2M in dividend-focused equities, \$60K qualified dividends
| They have sufficient bank deposits to cover any expenses
| They are delaying Social Security to age 70
| No mortgage interest, standard deduction

I They want to bracket-top and convert to Roth
| Tax bracket effectively zero
| Have up to \$42,950 of unused tax bracket
| $\$ 2,157$ of federal taxes
| Dividends and muni taxed at 0\%

## Bracket-Topping Example: continued

| After 10 years (age 72), at 6\%, they would have accumulated over $\$ 566 \mathrm{~K}$ in their Roths
| Their taxable IRA would be about \$1.21M v. \$1.79M if no conversions
| Taxable RMD reduced by about $\$ 22,400$
| Total federal taxes paid about $\$ 21,500$
| If one of the couple lives to age 90, the Roth would be worth (at 6\%) \$1.6M
| If the kids hold the Roth for 10 years, balance is now $\$ 2.8 \mathrm{M}$
| \$2.8 M wealth transfer for $\$ 21,500$ cumulative taxes.

## 1 <br> 1 1 <br> Investing Inherited IRAs

Dedication and Dovetail

## Taxable IRA Investing with 10-Year

Could use straight bond ladder

- Ladder 10-years
- High-Q or Treasuries
- Add-in interest

Ladder 2 bonds/5 years and spread

- E.g. year one matures, use one bond for distribution and set other to year 6
- Continue years 2-5

Modify a mixed portfolio to account for distribution

| Year | Distribution | Bond \& Gash | Equities | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 135,900 | 369,640 | 554,460 | 924,100 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 135,900 | 337,458 | 506,188 | 843,646 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 135,900 | 303,346 | 455,019 | 758,365 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 135,900 | 267,187 | 400,780 | 667,967 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 135,900 | 228,858 | 343,287 | 572,145 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 135,900 | 188,229 | 282,344 | 470,573 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 135,900 | 145,163 | 217,745 | 362,908 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 135,900 | 124,391 | 124,391 | 248,782 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 135,900 | 76,685 | 51,124 | 127,809 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 135,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 10-Year Tarable InA Investing



## In-Kind Distributions from Taxable IRAs

| You can take in-kind distributions from an IRA
| This means withdrawing a fund, ETF or stock from the IRA, presumably when you don't want to sell it

- Reduces future taxable income (IRA versus other)
- Hold withdrawn investment for >1yr, Capital Gains
- Hold withdrawn investment until death, step-up and no tax
| Allows effective withdrawals in down market cycles as tax management tool


## Roth Investing



## What To Do: Roth Strateyies

Age 72+:
Use QCD, possibly use RMD Roth strategy
Age 70 ½-72:
Delay RMD, bracket top to convert
Ages 60-70:
Optimum bracket topping Roth conversion period
Ages 50-60:
In plan-Mega Roth, Roth IRA, Back Door Roth, Roth DRAC
Ages 40-50:
Roth IRA, back-door Roth, Roth DRAC
Ages 30-40:
Roth IRA
Ages 20-30:
Roth IRA

## Case Study 1: Business Offset

| Jeff and Beth are veterinarians in an LLC taxed as a partnership
| \$250K of Taxable Income, all QBI
| \$25,000 each in 401(k) yearly
| SSTB < $\$ 315 \mathrm{~K}$
| Change 401(k) to DRAC
| Increases QBI deduction by \$50,000
| Deduction versus deferral
| Use Roth until TCJA expires 12/31/2025
| 6\%: \$420K, no RMD, 10-year
*Calculations based on 2019 IRS limits


## Case Study 2: Full Expensing Offset

| Herb is 64, owns a construction company, Sub-S
| Has \$1M in his 401(k)
| Other assets
| Needs \$1M equipment for big project
| Can full-expense equipment (expires end of 2022)
| In-service withdrawal of \$1M, convert to Roth. Depends on other income
| \$1M income from Roth conversion offsets §168(k) full expensing


## Case Study 3: Charity Offset

| Couple over 59½, at least one working
| Large 401(k) (>\$500K)
| Income \$150K
| Itemized deductions

- \$10K SALT
- \$10K mortgage interest
- \$10K Charity
| Do in-service rollover \$100K, convert to Roth
| \$100K Donor Advised Fund (DAF)
| Use DAF to make charity contributions for 10 years



## Case Study 4: CLT Offset

| Business owner couple 68
| Lots of assets
| Owns appreciated stock with basis $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$, worth \$1M
| 401(k)/IRA \$1M
| Donate stock to Charitable Lead Trust, 50K a year to University, remainder to kids in 20 years
| $\sim \$ 758 \mathrm{~K}$ charity deduction
Offset with \$1M Roth conversion
Remainder to kids
\$1M out of estate, \$1M tax-free


## Case Study 5: Estate Tax

| Widow, 80, poor health
| Estate worth \$12M
| Note TCJA expires 2025 (maybe sooner)
| IRA worth \$1M
| Income (incl RMD) \$200K
| Convert, pay $\sim \$ 320 \mathrm{~K}$ tax
| Reduce estate by $\$ 320 \mathrm{~K}$
| Eliminate income tax to heirs


## Case Study 6: New use of ILIT

| Couple, 55, high income (>\$300K)
| Big 401(k)s (\$1.2M) large employer match
| Will continue to save
| Projected balance 10 years \$2M, age 85 after RMD, \$3.2M
| Purchase $2^{\text {nd }}$ to die Life insurance for $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ to pay income taxes

| \$8K premium
| ILIT provides tax payments

## Case Study 7: Simple Situation

| Couple, ages 35/30
| Income under \$196K
| Both working
| Young kids
| 401(k) at work, employer matches first 5\%
| Continue 401(k) match and pretax
| Save additional in Roth IRA
| FIFO big advantage


## Case Study 8: RMD to Fund Roth Conversion

| Over 70½/72
| Doesn't like or need RMD
| Usually takes RMD and saves it
| Use RMD to pay taxes on Roth conversion
| Example: RMD is $\$ 36,000$

- Withhold $100 \%$ convert fully
- Withhold enough to convert and bracket top



## Case Study 9: Mom Roth

| Older parent with IRA
| Low tax bracket
| Doesn't need RMD
| Kids in higher brackets
| Bracket-top and convert to Roth
| Eliminates RMD
| Tax-free to kids
| Long-term care offset
| Watch SS and IRMMA floors

## Case Study 10: Kid Roth

I 16-year-old earns over \$6,000 a year.
I Until age 25, Mom or Dad (or maybe Grandma or Grandpa) deposit \$6,000 in a Roth, putting it in an index fund as a gift.

I Deposit at the beginning of the year, $6 \%$ annual return.

I Age 25, the Roth would contain about \$79,000.
I Don't disturb until age, 65, the Roth would be worth over \$813,000, tax-free, all from ten \$6,000 contributions.
I Cost to donor is the opportunity cost of the money
I Kids (or donor) continues to make contributions, the kid would have \$1,637,000 at 65.
I Withdraw 4\%, \$65,510 a year tax-free during his/her retirement years.

## Case Study 11: Dynasty Roth

## | 62 year old couple

I Convert \$61,400 a year for 8 years (bracket-top)
I Accumulates \$607,000 (6\%)
\| Taxes about \$59,200
I Stop Roth conversions at 70 (Social Security)

I Hold until survivor dies at age 90
I Roth worth then about \$1.949M
I Defer 10 years, worth about \$3.5M
*Calculations based on 2019 tax brackets

## Case Study 12: Lump-Sum DB Plan

| Many large (and small) corporations offer lump-sums as an option in their DB plan
| Full evaluation of lump-sums are at lumpsumanalyis.com
| Ford (salary), GM (salary), AT\&T (salary and hourly), DTE (salary \& hourly), Ohio Teachers (PLOP), Ohio Police, NCR, Honda, Allstate, Blue Cross all offer a lump-sum
| All companies also offer 401(k)
| New IRS rule suggests more lump sum offers on the horizon under IRS Notice 2019-18


GM

at\&t


DTE Energy


## Estate and Financial Planning Considerations



## Do 101 Have A Plane

CONTACT AN ADVISOR TODAY
888.225.3777
sequoia-financial.com

## Disclosure

The views expressed represent the opinion of Sequoia Financial Group, LLC. The views are subject to change and are not intended as a forecast or guarantee of future results. This material is for informational purposes only. It does not constitute investment advice and is not intended as an endorsement of any specific investment.
Stated information is derived from proprietary and nonproprietary sources that have not been independently verified for accuracy or completeness. While Sequoia believes the information to be accurate and reliable, we do not claim or have responsibility for its completeness, accuracy, or reliability. Statements of future expectations, estimates, projections, and other forward-looking statements are based on available information and Sequoia's view as of the time of these statements. Accordingly, such statements are inherently speculative as they are based on assumptions that may involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results, performance or events may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements.

Investing in equity securities involves risks, including the potential loss of principal. While equities may offer the potential for greater long-term growth than most debt securities, they generally have higher volatility. Past performance is not an indication of future results.

Investment advisory services offered through Sequoia Financial Group, LLC, an SEC Registered Investment Advisor. Registration as an investment advisor does not imply a certain level of skill or training.


[^0]:    Distributions must be completed $\longrightarrow$

    APPLICABLE TO: • Non-spouse<br>- Not disabled or chronically ill<br>- Not under age of majority<br>- Not within 10 years of IRA owner

